

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
D05-ZW009CT1

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001783

International filing date (day/month/year)

07.02.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)

09.02.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

Applicant

DAIKIN INDUSTRIES, LTD.

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. II	Priority
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/>	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP

Authorized officer

Facsimile No.

Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001783

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. <input type="checkbox"/> This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. type of material<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> a sequence listing<input type="checkbox"/> table(s) related to the sequence listingb. format of material<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> in written format<input type="checkbox"/> in computer readable formc. time of filing/furnishing<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> contained in the international application as filed.<input type="checkbox"/> filed together with the international application in computer readable form.<input type="checkbox"/> furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	<input type="checkbox"/> In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/JP2005/001783

Box No. V	<u>Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</u>																										
<p>1. Statement</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Novelty (N)</td> <td style="width: 40%;">Claims</td> <td style="width: 15%;"><u>1-5</u></td> <td style="width: 20%; text-align: right;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inventive step (IS)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td><u>1-5</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td><u>1-5</u></td> <td style="text-align: right;">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td style="text-align: right;">NO</td> </tr> </table>				Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1-5</u>	YES		Claims		NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>1-5</u>	YES		Claims		NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-5</u>	YES		Claims		NO
Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1-5</u>	YES																								
	Claims		NO																								
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>1-5</u>	YES																								
	Claims		NO																								
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-5</u>	YES																								
	Claims		NO																								
<p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Document 1: JP 2003-053129 A (Daikin Industries Ltd), 25 February 2003, full text, Figs. 1-14</p> <p>Document 2: JP 2000-058290 A (Keyence Co Ltd), 25 February 2000, full text, Figs. 1-17</p> <p>Claims 1-5</p> <p>Setting the frequency of the voltage applied to an electrode in order to suppress discharge loss caused by a delay in discharge time after decided that a prescribed cycle is needed between one streamer discharge and the next streamer discharge is neither described nor suggested in either of documents 1 or 2.</p>																											